# Pragmatic Learning: Interprofessional Quality Improvement **Curriculum for Healthcare Students**

## DukeHealth

DukeHospital Medicine Programs Aparna Kamath, MD, MS<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Poonam Sharma, MD<sup>1,2,3</sup>; April Cooper, PharmD<sup>2,4</sup>; Tamara Mueller, MHA, MBA<sup>1</sup>; Nicholas M. Hudak, MPA, MSEd, PA-C<sup>3,5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Hospital Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Duke Regional Hospital, <sup>3</sup>Duke University School of Medicine, <sup>4</sup>Campbell College of Pharmacy & Health Sciences, Department of Pharmacy; <sup>5</sup> Duke Physician Assistant Program

### Background

- Quality Improvement (QI) improves patient outcomes by providing tools to apply evidence-based knowledge towards patient care.
- Professional societies have endorsed teaching QI to health professions students.
- Teaching QI during formative years will prepare students to utilize this skill in their future clinical practice.
- Little research exists on the feasibility of bringing together interprofessional groups of health professions students to learn about and apply QI as part of their clinical education.

### Purpose

- Explore th feasibility of implementing an interprofessional QI curriculum for health professions students during their clinical experience.
- Improve students' confidence in QI skills as measured by quality improvement confidence instrument (QICI).
- Improve students' interprofessional teamwork perceptions as measured by **Student Perceptions of Interprofessional** Clinical Education-Revised (SPICE-R) instrument.
- Improve students' QI knowledge and skills as measured by Revised Quality Improvement Knowledge Application Tool (QIKAT-R).

### Description

- Setting: Interprofessional students rotating on general internal medicine inpatient teams at Duke Regional Hospital (DRH).
- Participants: Second-year medical, secondyear physician assistant (PA), and fourth-year pharmacy students.
- Structure: 4 concurrent sets of weekly QI activities, integrated into clinical learning and expanding over 4 weeks duration of the rotation.
- QI activities: Didactic sessions, small group learning, QI assignments, and reflective writing. In order to have consistency across sites for medical student rotation, from 4/2017 to 7/72017, the educational intervention focused on didactic sessions only with focus on value of QI in translating
- Measurement: Pre and post-intervention (at the beginning and end of 4 weeks) SPICE-R and QICI electronic surveys as well as paper based QIKAT-R survey. Differences in pre- and post-intervention scores were analyzed using t-test.
- Plan to calculate Kappa statistic and then analyze QIKAT-R surveys.

### Results QICI Survey

| QICI Questions       | Mean (SD) Pre-QI   | Mean (SD) Post-QI  | Mean Difference  |          |
|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|
|                      | Curriculum (n= 40) | Curriculum (n= 25) | (95% CI)         | P Value  |
| Describing an Issue  | 2.71 (0.99)        | 3.44 (0.94)        | 0.74 (0.48-0.98) | < 0.0001 |
| Building a Team      | 3.09 (0.98)        | 3.62 (0.92)        | 0.54 (0.29-0.77) | < 0.0001 |
| Defining the Problem | 2.99 (1.01)        | 3.47 (0.95)        | 0.49 (0.27-0.71) | < 0.0001 |
| Choosing a Target    | 2.41 (0.91)        | 3.07 (1.17)        | 0.66 (0.34-0.98) | < 0.0001 |
| Testing the Change   | 2.68 (1.12)        | 3.31 (1.05)        | 0.63 (0.39-0.86) | < 0.0001 |
| Improvement Efforts  | 2.84 (0.93)        | 3.16 (1.03)        | 0.32 (0.15-0.48) | < 0.0001 |

evidence-based medicine to patient's bedside.



| SPICE- R Questions      | Mean (SD) Pre-QI<br>Curriculum (n = 39) | Mean (SD) Post-QI<br>Curriculum (n = 23) | Mean Difference (95%<br>Cl) | P Value |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| 1. Enhancement of       |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| education               | 4.38 (0.12)                             | 4.09 (0.15)                              | -0.30 (-0.68 to 0.08)       | 0.12    |
| 2. Definition of role   | 3.79 (0.14)                             | 3.64 (0.19)                              | -0.16 (-0.64 to 0.32)       | 0.51    |
| 3. Healthcare           |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| outcomes                | 4.46 (0.11)                             | 4.23 (0.14)                              | -0.23 (-0.59 to 0.12)       | 0.19    |
| 4. Patient satisfaction | 4.21 (0.12)                             | 4.13 (0.16)                              | -0.07 (-0.48 to 0.33)       | 0.71    |
| 5. Work on an           |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| interprofessional team  | 4.28 (0.14)                             | 4.05 (0.18)                              | -0.24 (-0.69 to 0.22)       | 0.30    |
| 6. Collaborative        |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| relationships           | 4.59 (0.13)                             | 4.27 (0.17)                              | -0.32 (-0.74 to 0.11)       | 0.14    |
| 7. Understand others    |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| roles                   | 3.95 (0.14)                             | 3.95 (0.19)                              | 0.006 (-0.47 to 0.48)       | 0.98    |
| 8. Clinical rotations & |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| health professional     |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| students interaction    | 4.18 (0.11)                             | 4.27 (0.15)                              | 0.09 (-0.28 to 0.46)        | 0.61    |
| 9. Need for             |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| collaboration           | 4.54 (0.10)                             | 4.36 (0.13)                              | -0.17 (-0.49 to 0.14)       | 0.27    |
| 10. Involvement with    |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| other healthcare        |                                         |                                          |                             |         |
| students                | 4.31 (0.14)                             | 4.0 (0.18)                               | -0.31 (-0.77 to 0.15)       | 0.18    |

- for students.

- ourcurriculum.

# Acknowledgement

- Hospital Medicine

### Results

### **SPICE-R Survey**

### Conclusions

Standardization of expectations for students from leadership and leadership buy-in are important for instituting educational curriculum

Participation in our QI curriculum significantly improved healthcare students confidence in performing a QI project.

Participation in our QI curriculym did not improve interprofessional teamwork perception. We are in the process of analyzing students QI knowledge and skills as measured by QIKAT-R survey data, pre and post implementation of

• Paula Burgess, MHA, BSN, RN, ARM, CMRP • Jennifer Roberts, Administrative Assistant,